

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/665,568	09/22/2003	Kenji Hori	111608.01	6155
25944	7590 05/17/2006		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			HASAN, MO	HAMMED A
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>U</u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/665,568	HORI, KENJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MAN MO DATE (N.)	Mohammed Hasan	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M	arch 2006.					
	,					
·— · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 5,6,8,9 and 11 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5,6,8,9 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/032,093. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5, 6, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aoki et al (5,479,049).

Regarding claim 5, Aoki et al discloses (refer to figure 1) a method of adjusting the optical thickness of a lens (11) made from a material transparent to light to be used, comprising: manufacturing the lens and etching a surface of the manufactured lens to reduce the optical thickness when the optical thickness of the manufactured lens is greater than a target optical thickness (column 5, lines 40 - 44).

Regarding claim 6, Aoki et al discloses, wherein etching is performed to bring the optical thickness of the manufactured lens to the target optical thickness (column 5, lines 43 – 44).

Regarding claim 8, Aoki et al discloses, a lens (11) whose optical thickness adjusted by a method (column 5, lines 40 - 50).

Regarding claim 9, Aoki et al discloses, a lens (11) whose optical thickness adjusted by a method (column 5, lines 40 – 50).

Application/Control Number: 10/665,568 Page 3

Art Unit: 2873

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki et al (5,479,049) in view of Redmond (6,914,724 B2).

Regarding claim 11 as applied to claim 5, Aoki et al discloses (refer to figure 1) a method of adjusting the optical thickness of a lens made from a material transparent to light to be used, comprising manufacturing the lens and etching a surface of the manufactured lens to reduce the optical thickness (column 5, lines 40 – 44). Aoki et al discloses all of the claimed limitations except wherein at least one of polishing, pressing, and cutting is operated in manufacturing the lens. However, Redmond discloses, wherein at least one of polishing, pressing and cutting is operated in manufacturing the lens (column 7, lines 8 - 9).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to provide cutting process in to the Aoki manufactured lens for the purpose of an optical device minimize chromatic aberrations as taught by Redmond (column 3, lines 65 - 66).

Application/Control Number: 10/665,568 Page 4

Art Unit: 2873

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 3/14/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 4. In response to applicant's argument that Aoki et al discloses (refer to figure 1) a method of adjusting the optical thickness of a lens (11) made from a material transparent to light to be used and manufacturing the lens (i.e., every lens have been manufacture) and etching a surface of the manufactured lens (as shown in figure 1) to reduce the optical thickness when the optical thickness of the manufactured lens is greater than a target optical thickness (column 5, lines 40 44). Aoki further show an optical thickness of the manufactured lens to the target optical thickness (column 5, lines 43 44).
- 5. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the motivation to combine is found in reference.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,568 Page 5

Art Unit: 2873

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammed Hasan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2331. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH, 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky L Mack can be reached on (571) 272- 2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,568

Art Unit: 2873

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MH

May 11, 2006

RICKY MACK

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER